**Example:**

Program: □IM □BA □TM 🗹TTLM

Student: ○○○

Thesis Title: XXXXXX

Advisor: XXX

Date: 2015.05.31

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 1:** Students can apply specific knowledge, skills and tools of their program. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 1-1:** Students can understand theories, models, tools and skills relevant to their program. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis oral exam Video**  Only questions related to theories, methodology, sources and outcomes may be used as evidence. |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | |
| 1. **Business Knowledge**   **(商管知識)** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  |
| Student give poor answers to questions related to their program about theories, methodology, sources and outcomes. | | | Student give satisfactory answers to questions related to their program about theories, methodology, sources and outcomes. | | | Student give excellent answers to questions related to their program about theories, methodology, sources and outcomes. | | |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 1:** Students can apply specific knowledge, skills and tools of their program. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 1-1:** Students can understand theories, models, tools and skills relevant to their program. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis oral exam Video**  Only questions related to theories, methodology, sources and outcomes may be used as evidence. | |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | | | | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | | | | |
| 1. **Business Knowledge**   **(商管知識)** | **1** | | **2** | | | **3** | | | **4** | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | **8** | | **9** | | |
|  | |  | | |  | | |  |  | |  | |  |  | |  | | |
| Student give poor answers to questions related to their program about theories, methodology, sources and outcomes. | | | | | | | | Student give satisfactory answers to questions related to their program about theories, methodology, sources and outcomes. | | | | | Student give excellent answers to questions related to their program about theories, methodology, sources and outcomes. | | | | | |
| **Objective 1-2:** Students are capable of applying the knowledge to solve theoretical or practical problems. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Video of presentation of thesis** |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | | | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | | | | | |
| 1. **Awareness of Relevant Business Disciplinary Knowledge**   **(專業知識認知)** | | **1** | | | **2** | | | **3** | **4** | | **5** | | **6** | **7** | | **8** | | | **9** | |
|  | | |  | | |  |  | |  | |  |  | |  | | |  | |
| Fails to and/or has major problems in identifying all relevant problems bearing upon their program. | | | | | | | Has some minor but no major problems in identifying all relevant problems bearing upon the business problem. | | | | | Has no or almost no problems in identifying all relevant problems. | | | | | | |
| 1. **Accuracy and Insight of Application of Relevant Business Disciplinary Knowledge**   **(專業知識應用之正確性)** | | **1** | | **2** | | | **3** | | **4** | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | **8** | | | **9** | | |
|  | |  | | |  | |  |  | |  | |  |  | | |  | | |
| Student lack of insight into applying relevant business disciplinary knowledge. | | | | | | | Student has some minor but no major problems in accuracy and/or insight into applying relevant business. | | | | | Has no or almost no problems in accuracy and insight into applying all or almost all relevant knowledge to solve the problem. | | | | | | |
| 1. **Selection of Appropriate Models and Techniques**   **(模式及技術選用之適合性)** | | **1** | | **2** | | | **3** | | **4** | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | **8** | | | **9** | | |
|  | |  | | |  | |  |  | |  | |  |  | | |  | | |
| Fails to select an appropriate  Methodology. | | | | | | | Makes minor errors in a  selection of appropriate  Methodology | | | | | Makes no or almost no errors  in selection of appropriate  Methodology | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 2:** Students can analyze an issue specific to their program. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 2-1:** Students can collect relevant academic literature for their theses. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis report** |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | | | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | | | | |
| 1. **Appropriate selection of research articles**   **(研究主題之適合性)** | **1** | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| Fewer than five articles, out-dated articles, or non-primary research articles are included. The connection between the articles and the purpose is missing. | | | | | At least five research articles published in the recent 10 years are included.The connection between some of the articles and the purpose is unclear. | | | | | | | At least five research articles published in the recent 10 years are included.All articles are relevant to the purpose. | | | | | |
| 1. **Logical flow of ideas**   **(研究理念之邏輯架構)** | **1** | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| The arrangement of content in the literature review is  haphazard and difficult to follow. | | | | | The overall arrangement of the literature review is logical  but is occasionally difficult to follow. | | | | | | | The reader is guided smoothly  through the logically arranged literature review. | | | | | |
| 1. **Scope of articles**   **(研究範圍)** | **1** | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| Student failed to create a comprehensive overview of current research, trends, concepts themes and controversies related to the topic. | | | | | Students created a simple comprehensive overview of current research, trends, concepts, themes and controversies related to the topic. | | | | | | | Student has created, a comprehensive overview of current research, trends, concepts, themes, and controversies related to the topic. | | | | | |
| **Objective 2-2:** Students can write a well-structured thesis with relevant arguments. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis report** | |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | | | | |
| 1. **Paragraphs**   **(文字段落)** | **1** | | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  | |  | |  | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| Many paragraphs lack clearly  identifiable topic sentences. Many paragraphs contain multiple topics and are difficult to follow. Transitions between paragraphs are rough. | | | | | | Most paragraphs begin with a  clearly identifiable topic sentence. Some paragraphs include more than one topic. Transitions between paragraphs are sometimes rough. | | | | | Each paragraph begins with a  clearly identifiable topic sentence. The content of each paragraph is closely associated with its topic sentence. Transitions are smooth. | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 2:** Students can analyze an issue specific to their program. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 2-3:** Students can write a master thesis using the APA writing style. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis Report** |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | |
| 1. **Citations**   **(文獻引用)** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple citation errors are noted.One or more citation does not have a matching reference. | | | One to three citation errors are  noted. Each citation has a matching reference. | | | Citations are formatted correctly.Each citation has a matching reference. | | |
| 1. **References**   **(文獻格式)** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple formatting errors occurred in the reference list. One or more reference does not have a matching citation. | | | A minor formatting error or two are noted in the reference list. Each reference has a matching citation(s). | | | Proper formatting is used throughout the reference list.  Each reference has a matching citation(s). | | |
| 1. **Margins**   **(版面邊界配置)** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One-inch margins are not used.  Page number and page header are absent or typed rather than inserted at the top of each page. | | | One-inch margins are not used but not adequately. Page number and page header available and inserted correctly. | | | One-inch margins are used.  Page number and page header are inserted at the top of each page. | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 3:** Students will have effective communication skills. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 3-1:** Students can produce and deliver a professional thesis with relevant arguments and sound conclusions. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** | |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis report** |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | | | | |
| 1. **Results**   **(結果分析)** | | **1** | | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| The results are inadequately presented and explained. | | | | | | The results are adequately presented and explained. | | | | | | The results are presented in an appropriate order and fully explained. All the appropriate statistics are presented and explained clearly (when applicable). | | | | | |
| 1. **Discussion & Conclusion**   **(討論與結論)** | | **1** | | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| A summary of the main points is not clearly presented. Implications for nursing practice are absent, illogical, irrelevant, or unclear. | | | | | | A summary of the main points is  presented, but clarity could be  enhanced. Implications for nursing practice are included but lack logic, relevance, or clarity. | | | | | | A summary of the main points is clearly articulated. Implications for practice are logical, relevant, and clear. | | | | | |
| **Goal 3:** Students will have effective communication skills. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 3-2:** Students can use presentation technology in an efficient manner. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | **Assessment tools: Thesis presentation video** | |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | | | | |
| 1. **Visual Aides**   **(視覺輔助)** | **1** | | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| Visual aids are either not used or clearly inappropriate. | | | | | | Visual aids are present but do not substantively enhance presentation or reinforce ideas presented. | | | | | | Visual aides enhance presentation and reinforce ideas presented. | | | | | |
| 1. **Quality of slides**   **(內容品質)** | **1** | | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| Sloppy and/or unprofessional.  Inappropriate level of detail (too wordy or too vague); font size too small for readability. | | | | | | Readable and professional. Appropriate level of detail that  emphasizes main points. | | | | | | Readable, professional, imaginative and/or high quality. | | | | | |
| 1. **Use of Multimedia Technology**   **(多媒體技巧之使用)** | **1** | | **2** | | **3** | | **4** | | **5** | | **6** | | **7** | | **8** | | **9** | |
|  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  | |
| The use of multimedia technology was a distraction rather than help and was Incomplete | | | | | | The presentation was eye appealing, the pictures were clear and the sequence of the presentation was well thought out and the prepared. | | | | | | The presentation was eye Appealing, the pictures were Clear, the sequence of the presentation was well thought out. Presentation was organized, Speakers  were clear and used proper  terminology. | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 4:** Students will be ethical decision makers. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 4-1:** Students can demonstrate understanding to what is ethical and what is not. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | **Report of speech on business ethics.** |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | |
| 1. **Understanding Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student can not name the major theories she/he uses, and attempts to explain the details of the Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts are not complete. | | | Student can name the major theories she/he uses, and attempts to explain the details of the Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts. | | | Student names the theory or theories and accurately explains the details of Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts | | |
| 1. **Ethical Issue Recognition** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student can recognize basic and obvious ethical issues but fails to grasp complexity or interrelationships. | | | Student can recognize ethical issues when issues are presented in a complex, multilayed (gray) context OR can grasp cross-relationships among the issues | | | Student can recognize ethical issues when issues are presented in a **complex, multilayed (gray) context AND can grasp cross-relationships** among the issues | | |
| 1. **Evaluation of Different Ethical Perspectives/Concepts** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student states a position but cannot state the objections to and assumptions and limitations  of the different perspectives/concepts. | | | Student states a position and can state the objections to, assumptions and implications of, and respond to the objections to, assumptions and implications of different ethical perspectives/concepts, but the  student's response is inadequate. | | | Student states a position and can state the **objections to, assumptions and implications** of and can reasonably defend against the objections to, assumptions and implications of different **ethical perspectives/concepts**, and the student's defense is adequate and effective. | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Goal 4:** Students will be ethical decision makers. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Objective 4-2**: Students can make sound ethical decisions based on gathered information. | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Criteria** |  | | | | | | | | | **Written test embedded in the Seminar** |
| **Unsatisfactory (1~3 Points)** | | | **Satisfactory (4~6 Points)** | | | **Excellent (7~9 Points)** | | |
| 1. **Application of Ethical Perspectives/Concepts** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student can apply ethical  perspectives/concepts to an ethical question with support (using examples, in a class, in a group, or a fixed-choice setting) but is unable to apply ethical perspectives/concepts independently (to a new example.) | | | Student can independently (to a new example) apply ethical perspectives/concepts to an ethical question, accurately, but does not consider the specific implications of the application. | | | Student can independently apply ethical perspectives/concepts to an ethical question, accurately, and is able to consider full  implications of the application. | | |
| 1. **Ethical dimensions** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethical dimensions of the problem are not defined nor are they structured. | | | Ethical dimensions of the problem are defined and structured. | | | Ethical dimensions of the problem are clearly defined and perfectly structured. | | |
| 1. **Decision making** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provides a superficial explanation of the basis for ethical behavior/decision. | | | Can provide a logical explanation of the basis for the ethical behavior/decision by showing some evidence of gathering pertinent facts and information that support the behavior/decision. | | | Can provide a comprehensive explanation of the basis for ethical behavior/decision by showing evidence of gathering pertinent facts and information that support the behavior/decision. | | |

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_­

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ ­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_­